

A Relativistic Quark Model for Baryons

Bernard Metsch

*Institut für Theoretische Kernphysik
Universität Bonn
Nußallee 14-16, D53115 Bonn, Germany*

Abstract. We present a relativistic quark model for baryons, based on the Bethe–Salpeter equation in instantaneous approximation. Confinement is implemented by an interaction kernel which essentially is a linearly rising potential with a spin-dependence chosen such as to minimize spin-orbit effects. The fine structure of the baryon spectrum follows from an effective quark-interaction based on instanton effects. Results for the spectra of all baryons build from u, d, s -quarks are presented. In particular it is found, that the present relativistic setup can account for the low position of Roper-like resonances in all sectors.

Despite many efforts solving the bound state problem of QCD a consistent, quantitative description of mesons and baryons is still one of the major challenges in elementary particle physics. If one aims at a comprehensive description of hadronic excitations the most successful approach is doubtless the constituent quark model, where the gluonic degrees of freedom are eliminated in favor of constituent quarks with effective masses and quark interaction potentials. In its non-relativistic version this approach has been most extensively studied by Isgur and Karl, see e.g. [1]. Later on this has been improved, by including relativistic corrections both to the kinetic energy and to the quark potentials. In spite of the success in describing properties of baryons this procedure seems questionable in view of the high velocities of the light quarks and the large "binding energies" one finds especially for mesons like the pion. Furthermore the lack of formal covariance impedes a consistent calculation of electromagnetic properties at large momentum transfers, such as electromagnetic form factors.

It is the aim of the present contribution to extend a covariant quark model, which we developed for mesons on the basis of the Bethe-Salpeter equation (see [2] and references therein) to baryons. The Bethe–Salpeter amplitude for a three-fermion bound state with 4-momentum $\bar{P}$ defined by $\chi_{\bar{P}}(x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv \langle 0 | T \Psi^1(x_1) \Psi^2(x_2) \Psi^3(x_3) | \bar{P} \rangle$ satisfies the homogeneous Bethe Salpeter equation, which after Fourier transformation in momentum space and introduction of the Jacobi-momenta p_ξ, p_η reads:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\bar{P}}(p_\xi, p_\eta) = & -i S_1^F(p_1) S_2^F(p_2) S_3^F(p_3) \int \frac{d^4 p'_\xi}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4 p'_\eta}{(2\pi)^4} K^{(3)}(\bar{P}, p_\xi, p_\eta, p'_\xi, p'_\eta) \chi_{\bar{P}}(p'_\xi, p'_\eta) \\ & -i \left[S_1^F(p_1) S_2^F(p_2) \int \frac{d^4 p'_\xi}{(2\pi)^4} K^{(2)}((p_1 + p_2, p_\xi, p'_\xi) \chi_{\bar{P}}(p'_\xi, p_\eta) + 2 \text{ cycl. perm.} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where S_i^F are the full single quark propagators, $p_i(p_\xi, p_\eta)$ are single particle 4-momenta depending on the Jacobi-momenta, and $K^{(2)}$ and $K^{(3)}$ are the two-particle and three-particle irreducible interaction kernels, respectively.

In the spirit of the covariant meson quark model [2] we will make the following simplifying assumptions, which nevertheless still respect relativistic covariance: The instantaneous approximation can be readily formulated if we keep only the first term on the right hand side of eq. (1), assume that the full propagators are given by their free form $S_i^F(p) = i/(\not{p} - m_i + i\varepsilon)$, with an effective constituent quark mass m_i and suppose that that the three particle kernel $K^{(3)}$ is instantaneous in the rest frame of the bound state: $K^{(3)}(\vec{P}, p_\xi, p_\eta, p'_\xi, p'_\eta) = V(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta, \vec{p}'_\xi, \vec{p}'_\eta)$, independent of p_ξ^0, p_η^0 . With these approximations it is possible to perform the integrations over p_ξ^0 and p_η^0 in eq. 1 analytically by the residue theorem and reduce the Bethe–Salpeter equation to the 3-fermion (full) Salpeter equation

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) = & \left[\frac{\Lambda_1^+(\vec{p}_1) \otimes \Lambda_2^+(\vec{p}_2) \otimes \Lambda_3^+(\vec{p}_3)}{M - \omega_1 - \omega_2 - \omega_3 + i\varepsilon} + \frac{\Lambda_1^-(\vec{p}_1) \otimes \Lambda_2^-(\vec{p}_2) \otimes \Lambda_3^-(\vec{p}_3)}{M + \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 - i\varepsilon} \right] \gamma^0 \otimes \gamma^0 \otimes \gamma^0 \\ & \times \int \frac{d^3 p'_\xi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p'_\eta}{(2\pi)^3} V(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta, \vec{p}'_\xi, \vec{p}'_\eta) \Phi(\vec{p}'_\xi, \vec{p}'_\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

for the Salpeter-Amplitude $\Phi(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) \equiv \int \frac{dp_\xi^0}{2\pi} \frac{dp_\eta^0}{2\pi} \chi_{\vec{P}} \left((p_\xi^0, \vec{p}_\xi), (p_\eta^0, \vec{p}_\eta) \right) |_{\vec{P}=(M,0)}$. Here we introduced the abbreviations: $\omega_i = \omega_i(\vec{p}_i) = \sqrt{m_i^2 + |\vec{p}_i|^2}$ with $\Lambda_i^\pm = \Lambda_i^\pm(\vec{p}_i) \equiv (\omega_i \pm H_i(\vec{p}_i))/2\omega_i$, where $H_i(\vec{p}_i) \equiv \gamma^0 (\vec{\gamma} \cdot \vec{p}_i + m_i) = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p}_i + \beta m_i$ is the single particle Dirac Hamiltonian.

Using $H_i(\vec{p}_i) \Lambda_i^\pm(\vec{p}_i) = \pm \omega_i \Lambda_i^\pm$ we can write the Salpeter equation in the concise form

$$(\mathcal{H}\Phi)(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) = M\Phi(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta), \quad (3)$$

where we define the Salpeter Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ through

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{H}\Phi)(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) = & \sum_{i=1}^3 H_i(\vec{p}_i) \Phi(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) + \left(\Lambda_1^+ \otimes \Lambda_2^+ \otimes \Lambda_3^+ + \Lambda_1^- \otimes \Lambda_2^- \otimes \Lambda_3^- \right) \\ & \gamma^0 \otimes \gamma^0 \otimes \gamma^0 \int \frac{d^3 p'_\xi}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p'_\eta}{(2\pi)^3} V(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta, \vec{p}'_\xi, \vec{p}'_\eta) \Phi(\vec{p}'_\xi, \vec{p}'_\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We require, that the interaction kernel respects parity and time reversal invariance and that the Salpeter Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is selfadjoint with respect to a scalar product following from the normalization condition on the amplitudes. However, $\mathcal{H}$ is not positive definite, and accordingly $\mathcal{H}$ possesses positive and negative eigenvalues. From CPT-invariance it can be shown, that if Φ is solution with eigenvalue $-M$, i.e. $(\mathcal{H}\Phi)(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) = -M\Phi(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta)$ then $\tilde{\Phi} \equiv \otimes_{i=1}^3 \gamma_i^0 \gamma_i^5 \Phi$ is a solution of the Salpeter equation with eigenvalue $+M$: $(\mathcal{H}\tilde{\Phi})(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta) = M\tilde{\Phi}(\vec{p}_\xi, \vec{p}_\eta)$ and the solution $\tilde{\Phi}$ has a parity opposite to Φ . This allows the interpretation of the negative energy solutions for a given set of quantum numbers as antibaryon states: After the transformation $\Phi \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$ these yield solutions with the same quantum numbers, but of opposite parity. In this manner we thus find in the present

approach the *same* number of states as in the nonrelativistic quark model. In fact this is an *a posteriori* justification for ignoring the second term on the right hand side of eq. (1): This term would, even in instantaneous approximation mix the amplitudes Φ^{+++} and Φ^{---} considered here with amplitudes such as Φ^{++-} , which contain both positive- and negative energy spinors. There is, however, no empirical evidence, that such amplitudes are relevant for the spectrum of baryon states, which is explained excellently by the naive nonrelativistic model.

The Salpeter equation (3) is solved numerically by diagonalization of $\mathcal{H}$ in (4) in an appropriate finite basis of amplitudes with conserved quantum numbers and definite permutational symmetry, which, due to the special structure of the projectors in (4) can be constructed as in the nonrelativistic model. Analogous to the meson model [2] we parameterized V in 2 as a sum of a confinement interaction

$$\mathcal{V}(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3) = \frac{3}{4}a \left[1 + \sum_{i<j=1}^3 \gamma_i^0 \gamma_j^0 \right] + \frac{1}{2}b \sum_{i<j=1}^3 |\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j| \left[1 + \gamma_i^0 \gamma_j^0 \right] \quad (5)$$

with offset a and string tension b and an instanton induced interaction

$$\mathcal{W}(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_3) = \sum_{i<j=1}^3 -4 \left[g\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{ij}(nn)}^f + g'\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}_{ij}(ns)}^f \right] \left[1 + \gamma_i^5 \gamma_j^5 \right] N_\lambda e^{-(\vec{x}_i - \vec{x}_j)^2 / \lambda^2}, \quad (6)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}^f$ projects on flavour antisymmetric pairs with strength g and g' , and the original contact interaction was regularized by a Gaussian with range λ .

TABLE 1. Comparison of experimental [3] and calculated masses (in MeV) of selected Δ resonances.

J^π	Exp.	Cal.	J^π	Exp.	Cal.	J^π	Exp.	Cal.
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1700-1800	1760	$\frac{7}{2}^+$	1940 1960	1915	$\frac{13}{2}^+$		2665
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1615-1675	1600	$\frac{7}{2}^-$	2155-2360	2080	$\frac{13}{2}^-$	2550-2870	2580
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1230-1240	1260	$\frac{9}{2}^+$	2140-2550	2290	$\frac{15}{2}^+$	2750-3190	2755
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1670-1770	1570	$\frac{9}{2}^-$	2100-2500	2180	$\frac{15}{2}^-$		2840
$\frac{5}{2}^+$	1870-1920	1840	$\frac{11}{2}^+$	2300-2500	2375			
$\frac{5}{2}^-$	1920-1970	2015	$\frac{11}{2}^-$		2490			

Since the instanton induced interaction acts only on states, which contain a flavour antisymmetric pair, the flavour decuplet states are determined by the confinement potential alone: As can be seen from table 1 our present *Ansatz*, which minimizes spin-orbit effects can indeed account reasonably well for the Δ -resonances up to spin $\frac{15}{2}^+$. The instanton force does determine the spectra of other sectors and the mass spectrum resulting from our model for lower lying states is quite remarkable: Usually, in non-relativistic quark models (both with and without relativistic corrections) the Roper resonance and its strange partners appear much too high in comparison with the experimentally determined resonance positions and are lowered only when additional interactions (commonly meson dressing effects) are taken into account. In our covariant model this is no longer

TABLE 2. Comparison of experimental [3] and calculated masses (in MeV) of N, Λ, Σ and Ξ resonances.

	N		Λ		Σ		Ξ	
J^π	Exp.	Cal.	Exp.	Cal..	Exp.	Cal.	Exp.	Cal.
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	939	935	1116	1110	1189-1198	1210	1313-1315	1270
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1430-1470	1470	1560-1700	1585	1630-1690	1760	1950?	1965
$\frac{1}{2}^+$	1680-1740	1750	1750-1850	1775	1738-1772	1898		2095
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1520-1555	1470	1402-1410	1450	1600-1633	1610	1690?	1710
$\frac{1}{2}^-$	1640-1680	1625	1660-1680	1650	1730-1800	1735		1910
$\frac{3}{2}^+$	1650-1750	1735	1850-1910	1835	1375-1400	1415	1529-1533	1570
$\frac{3}{2}^-$	1515-1530	1420	1518-1522	1515	1580-1583	1675	1819-1830	1795

the case; once the model parameters are fixed to reproduce the correct ground state baryon masses, the spectrum of the lowest excitations of positive parity is already well described, see table 2. Also the conspicuous low position of the $\Lambda(1405; \frac{1}{2}^-)$ -resonance is explained through a combination of relativistic and instanton effects. We consider these results to be a promising starting point for further calculations which will also involve the computation of electro-weak observables.

The contributions from Klaus Kretzschmar, Ulrich Löring, Ralf Ricken and Herbert Petry are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1. N.,Isgur, N.,Karl, G., *Phys.,Rev.* **D18** 4187 (1978); **D19**, 2653 (1979).
2. Metsch, Bernard, "Quark Structure of Light Mesons", Contribution to this conference
3. Barnett, R.M. *et al.*, (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Rev.*, **D54**, 1 (1996) and 1997 off-year partial update for the 1998 edition available on the PDG WWW pages (URL: <http://pdg.lbl.gov/>).
4. Flamm, D., F. Schöberl, F. , *Introduction to the Quark Model of Elementary Particles*, Vol. I, London: Gordon and Breach, 1982.
5. Böhm, M., Meyer,R.F., *Ann. Phys.* **120**, 360 (1979); Meyer,R.F., *Spin structure of relativistic three-particle systems and the quark model*, Bonn: Preprint University Bonn HE-7514, 1975.
6. Carimalo, C., *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 4930 (1993).